



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,515	10/14/2005	Tadashi Endo	2005_1554A	1630
52349 7590 07/08/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P. 2033 K. STREET, NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
EXAMINER				
RUDAWITZ, JOSHUA I				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3652				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/08/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/553,515

Applicant(s)

ENDO ET AL.

Examiner

JOSHUA I. RUDAWITZ

Art Unit

3652

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOSHUA I. RUDAWITZ.(3) NILS PEDERSEN.(2) SAUL RODRIGUEZ.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 01 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 47-52.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mimita AND Stout.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant asked for clarification regarding the rejections set forth in the Final Rejection of 03/07/2008. The Examiner indicated that the pressure required to lift a secured tray would be higher than that of an unsecured electronic component. The applicant indicated a possible amendment to the independent claim that would obviate this particular basis.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J.I.R./ S.J.R./

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required